

4. Add satellite group for further testing.
5. Extend test or protocol deadline, delete test initiation date.
6. Clarify and/or add specific guideline requirement.
7. Alter specific guideline requirement approved for certain test(s).
8. Correct CAS No.
9. Amend test standard.
10. Neurotoxicity endpoint rule.
11. Revise protocol.

**Note:** Only modifications under number 5 in the above table were approved in 1997.

#### List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Chemicals, Exports, Hazardous substances, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: September 4, 1998.

**Charles M. Auer,**

*Director, Chemical Control Division, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics.*

[FR Doc. 98-24604 Filed 9-11-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

#### FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

##### Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

September 9, 1998.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Persons wishing to comment on this information collection should submit comments November 13, 1998. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all comments to Les Smith, Federal Communications Commissions, Room 234, 1919 M St., NW, Washington, DC 20554 or via internet to lesmith@fcc.gov.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Les Smith at 202-418-0217 or via internet at lesmith@fcc.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*OMB Approval Number:* 3060-0411.

*Title:* Procedures for Formal Complaints Filed Against Common Carriers.

*Form Number:* FCC Form 485.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Respondents:* Business or other for-profit entities.

*Number of Respondents:* 5,645.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* 2.95 hours (average).

*Frequency of Response:*

Recordkeeping; On occasion reporting requirements; Third party disclosure.

*Total Annual Burden:* 16,677 hours.

*Estimated Cost to Respondents:* \$63,000.

*Needs and Uses:* In the Second Report and Order issued in CC Docket No. 96-238, the Commission made certain changes in the rules for formal complaints filed against common carriers to make them move more quickly. Information filed pursuant to 47 CFR 1.720 et seq. is provided either with or in response to a formal complaint to determine whether or not there has been a violation of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, or the Commission's Rules or Orders. Affected respondents are complainants and potential defendant common carriers.

*OMB Control Number:* 3060-0785.

*Title:* Universal Service Worksheet.

*Form Number:* FCC Form 457.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Respondents:* Businesses or other for-profit entities.

*Number of Respondents:* 5,000.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* 13.69 hours (average).

*Total Annual Burden:* 68,450 hours.

*Estimated Cost to Respondents:* \$4,903,000.

*Frequency of Response:*

Recordkeeping; On occasion; monthly;

and semi-annual reporting requirements.

*Needs and Uses:* Section 54.703 requires all telecommunications carriers providing interstate telecommunications services, providers of interstate telecommunications that offer services to others for a fee, and pay telephone providers to contribute to universal service support mechanisms. Contributors must file the Universal Service worksheet semi-annually. The Commission recently revised the worksheet to, among other things, make explicit that contributors must report revenues derived from presubscribed interexchange carrier charges. The information is used to calculate contributions to universal support mechanism.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Magalie Roman Salas,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-24554 Filed 9-11-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

#### FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

##### Public Information Collection(s) Submitted to OMB for Review and Approval

September 3, 1998.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning: (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated information techniques or other forms of information technology.